Journal of Urban Mathematics Education
December 2023, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 1–13

EDITORIAL

The Role of Technology in Enhancing Urban Mathematics Education

Editor in Chief

Jamaal Young
Texas A&M University

Integrating technology in urban mathematics education offers a promising avenue for fostering equitable and engaging learning environments. According to the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), 94% of public schools in the United States have access to the internet, and 98% of those schools use computers for instructional purposes (NCES, 2021). Urban schools have invested in technology infrastructure, including high-speed internet access, computer labs, interactive whiteboards, and mobile devices, to facilitate technology integration. Subsequently, the use of educational software, online learning platforms, and learning management systems has become more prevalent in urban schools, allowing for personalized learning experiences and remote learning opportunities (Young, Hamilton, & Cason, 2017). Likewise, mobile devices, such as tablets and smartphones, have gained popularity in urban schools as they provide flexibility and mobility for both students and teachers (Harvey-Buschel, 2009). By leveraging the power of technology tools and resources, we can bridge opportunity gaps, enhance student motivation and achievement, and empower both educators and students in urban schools (Rizk & Davies, 2021; Young, Ortiz, & Young, 2017). The purpose of this editorial is to contribute to the ongoing dialogue regarding the role of technology in improving mathematics education by placing an acute focus on the potential of technology-enhanced learning in urban contexts to promote educational equity and excellence for all students.

Enhancing Urban Mathematics Education Through Technology

Urban mathematics education research illuminates the intricate nature of urban school systems by examining the challenges and opportunities that exist within them (Tan, Barton, Turner, & Gutiérrez, 2012; Tate, Anderson, & Tate, 2021; Anderson & Tate, 2015). Specifically, mathematics teaching, learning, and achievement have been a focal point of concern within the broader field of urban education as urban students must consistently overcome *receivement gaps* as

JAMAAL YOUNG is an Associate Professor of Mathematics Education in the Department of Teaching, Learning and Culture at Texas A&M University, 4232 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843; email: jamaal.young@tamu.edu. His focus is culturally responsive mathematics teaching as it relates to African American children's educational needs, multicultural STEM project-based learning, pre-service teacher diversity training, literature synthesis, and meta-analysis methodology.

students in urban settings consistently receive less access to high-quality mathematics instruction (Chambers, 2009). To effectively address these challenges, it is imperative to comprehend the contextual factors and nuances associated with urban mathematics education (Rubel, 2017). By understanding these complexities, researchers and educators can develop targeted strategies to empower students and improve mathematics learning outcomes in urban schools.

The importance of technology in modern education cannot be overstated. Technology has revolutionized the way we live, work, and learn, and its integration into educational settings has the potential to enhance and transform the teaching and learning experience. Mathematics education offers opportunities to engage students in dynamic and interactive learning experiences using technology tools like graphing calculators, computer software, interactive simulations, and online resources (Young, 2017). These tools can support conceptual understanding, promote problem-solving skills, and provide real-world contexts for mathematical applications.

Purpose

The purpose of this editorial is to explore the role of technology in enhancing urban mathematics education. By examining current research, best practices, and innovative approaches, we aim to shed light on how technology can address the unique needs and challenges faced by urban schools. We will discuss the potential benefits and limitations of various technological tools and strategies, as well as their implications for equity, access, and achievement in urban mathematics education. The scope of this editorial is to provide insights and recommendations for educators, researchers, policymakers, and other stakeholders interested in leveraging technology to improve mathematics education outcomes in urban settings.

Impact of Technology on Mathematics Education in Urban Settings

The use of educational apps, mobile devices, online platforms, and learning management systems has been shown to positively impact mathematics education in urban settings. Studies have indicated that these digital tools foster student engagement and motivation by creating interactive and dynamic learning environments. For example, Ringstaff and Kelley (2002) found that the integration of technology in classrooms led to increased student engagement and improved learning outcomes. Digital tools also enable personalized learning experiences in urban mathematics classrooms. Adaptive learning software and intelligent tutoring systems allow educators to tailor instruction to meet the individual needs of students, providing targeted support and challenging opportunities for growth. This personalization helps students develop a deeper conceptual understanding of mathematics

(Lawless & Pellegrino, 2007). Furthermore, technology enhances accessibility and inclusivity in urban mathematics education.

Digital resources, online materials, and virtual learning opportunities help bridge the resource gap faced by many urban communities (Kormos, 2018). Students can access educational content beyond their immediate environment, leading to increased access to mathematics resources (Hew & Brush, 2007). Technology also provides assistive tools and accommodations for students with disabilities, ensuring equal access to mathematical learning experiences (De Vita et al., 2014).
However, there are challenges that need to be addressed to maximize the potential of technology in urban mathematics education. Limited resources and funding often hinder the integration of technology in urban schools. Additional investments in infrastructure, devices, and software licenses are required (Lawless & Pellegrino, 2007). According to Epper and Baker (2009) collaborative efforts among stakeholders are necessary to advocate for increased funding and support for technology initiatives in urban schools.

Addressing recievement gaps and disparities in urban mathematics education is another challenge. Because technology can help bridge these gaps, equitable access to technology and digital resources must be ensured for all students (Keengwe & Akyeampong, 2010; Li & Ma, 2010). Technology integration coupled with strategies such as culturally responsive teaching practices and inclusive curriculum development can help engage and support underrepresented groups in mathematics (Moreno-Armella & Santos-Trigo, 2015). Moreover, teacher professional development plays a crucial role in effectively integrating technology into instructional practices (Young & Young, 2012). Ongoing training and support are needed to help educators leverage technology to enhance student learning (Young, Hamiliton, & Pratt, 2019). By investing in teacher professional development programs that focus on both technological skills and pedagogical strategies, urban schools can empower educators to inspire and empower students through innovative mathematical instruction.

The integration of technology in urban mathematics education has the potential to transform traditional classrooms into dynamic learning environments. Educational apps, mobile devices, online platforms, and learning management systems can enhance student engagement, personalize learning experiences, and promote inclusivity. However, addressing challenges such as limited resources, recievement gaps, and teacher professional development is crucial to fully leverage the potential of technology in urban mathematics education (Young, Young, & Hamilton, 2013). By investing in technology infrastructure, ensuring equitable access, and providing ongoing support for educators, urban schools can harness the transformative power of technology and empower students to excel in mathematics.

Digital Tools for Enhancing Mathematics Instruction in Urban Schools

The integration of technology in urban mathematics education has been widely recognized for its potential to enhance student engagement and foster conceptual understanding. Educational apps and mobile devices play a crucial role in this transformation by providing interactive and personalized experiences for students. These apps offer a range of benefits, including promoting conceptual understanding, fostering problem-solving skills, and increasing student motivation (Moeller & Reitzes, 2011; Meyer et al., 2021). For instance, apps like Photomath and Khan Academy allow students to practice mathematical concepts at their own pace, providing immediate feedback and adaptive learning features. This personalized approach to learning caters to the specific needs of urban students and helps them develop a solid foundation in mathematics. Additionally, apps like Mathway and Desmos provide tools for graphing and problem-solving, enabling students to explore mathematical concepts in a visual and interactive manner. Moreover, online platforms and learning management systems offer unique advantages in mathematics instruction, particularly for urban schools (King & South, 2017). These platforms allow teachers to deliver content in a flexible manner, providing a diverse range of resources such as interactive tutorials, virtual manipulatives, and collaborative activities. Online platforms like Google Classroom and Canvas have been successfully implemented in urban schools, offering seamless integration of assignments, assessments, and communication. These platforms also facilitate data-driven instruction, allowing teachers to track student progress and tailor their instruction to individual needs.

By embracing technology, urban schools can provide equitable access to high-quality mathematics education, addressing the unique challenges faced by urban students (Haleem et al., 2022; Shuler et al., 2013). The interactive and engaging nature of educational apps and online platforms can help bridge the achievement gap and create an inclusive learning environment where all students can thrive (FAO, 2021). These digital tools empower students to take ownership of their learning, develop critical thinking skills, and acquire the mathematical competencies necessary for success in the 21st century. The integration of technology in urban mathematics education offers immense potential for improving student outcomes. By leveraging educational apps, mobile devices, and online platforms, educators can engage students, foster conceptual understanding, and address the diverse needs of urban learners (Kormos, 2022). This approach paves the way for a transformative

learning experience that equips students with the mathematical skills and competencies essential for their future success.

Supporting and Engaging Students in Urban Mathematics Classrooms Through Technology

In today's rapidly advancing technological era, the integration of technology in education has become essential, especially in urban mathematics classrooms. According to Huang (2019), gamification and game-based learning offer exciting opportunities to support and engage students in mathematics. For example, Nolan and McBride (2014) suggest that incorporating mathematical puzzles, quests, and challenges into games can motivate students to actively explore mathematical concepts. This approach fosters a deeper understanding of mathematical principles, as students are encouraged to apply their knowledge to solve real-life problems. Kimble (2020) also found that gamification positively impacted the mathematics achievement of elementary students.

Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) are additional technologies that hold great potential for urban mathematics education. According to Panconesi and Guida (2021), VR and AR provide immersive experiences that can revolutionize learning. By creating virtual environments and augmenting real-world settings with mathematical models, students can explore mathematical concepts in three-dimensional spaces and visualize abstract ideas (Kaddoura & Al Husseiny, 2023; Young & Young, 2013). This enhances their understanding of mathematical concepts and cultivates their spatial reasoning and critical thinking skills. Examples such as VR simulations of geometric shapes and AR overlays on real-life objects, as discussed by Zhan et al. (2022), can help students grasp complex spatial relationships and geometric properties more effectively.

Furthermore, online collaborative projects and competitions can promote collaboration and teamwork in urban mathematics classrooms. According to Nousiainen et al. (2018), online platforms provide opportunities for students to engage in collaborative problem-solving activities, communicate, share ideas, and learn from one another. This fosters a sense of community within the classroom and broadens students' perspectives on mathematics. Successful examples of online math competitions in urban settings include math Olympiads, math challenges, and online math clubs (Muntean et al., 2019).

By integrating gamification and game-based learning, virtual reality and augmented reality, as well as online collaborative projects and competitions, technology plays a pivotal role in enhancing urban mathematics education. These innovative approaches create dynamic and interactive learning environments that foster mathematical thinking, problem-solving skills, and collaboration among students. As emphasized by Johnson and Salter (2022), these technologies have proven to be

particularly valuable during the pandemic when traditional classroom settings faced challenges. Embracing technological advancements and exploring their potential will continue to empower urban students to excel in mathematics, a critical discipline for their future success.

Best Practices for Technology Integration

In today's increasingly digital world, technology has the potential to revolutionize mathematics education, particularly in urban classrooms where students often face unique challenges (Collins & Halverson, 2018). To harness the full potential of technology, it is crucial to implement best practices that address the specific needs of urban mathematics classrooms (Anthony & Clark, 2011). This editorial explores three key areas of focus for integrating technology effectively: teacher professional development and support, adapting technology to meet diverse student needs, and evaluation and assessment of technology integration.

Teacher professional development and support plays a pivotal role in ensuring successful technology integration in urban mathematics classrooms (Padrón et al., 2012). To empower educators, training opportunities must be made available that specifically cater to the unique challenges and needs of urban settings. This includes providing educators with comprehensive and ongoing professional development to enhance their technological skills and pedagogical strategies (Blumenfeld et al., 2000). Additionally, creating collaborative communities for urban mathematics educators can foster the sharing of best practices, allowing teachers to learn from one another's successes and challenges (Corkin et al., 2016; Kaput, Hegedus, & Lesh, 2020; Scharaldi, 2020). By investing in teacher support, urban schools can cultivate a dynamic learning environment that embraces technology as a catalyst for positive change.

Adapting technology to meet diverse student needs is crucial for ensuring equity and accessibility in urban mathematics classrooms (Tate, 2001). Technology integration must be approached with a strong commitment to inclusivity, providing all students with equal opportunities to engage with mathematical concepts (Attard & Holmes, 2022). This involves addressing barriers such as limited access to technology resources outside the classroom, language differences, and cultural disparities (Wachira & Keengwe, 2011). Strategies such as providing technology resources within schools, promoting multilingual support in digital tools, and incorporating culturally relevant content can help bridge these gaps and create an environment where all students can thrive (Hoyles, 2018). By tailoring technology to the unique needs of urban learners, mathematics education can become more inclusive and empowering.

Evaluation and assessment of technology integration is vital to measure the impact of technology on student learning outcomes and guide instructional

decisions (Roschelle et al., 2000; Young, 2017). To ensure that technology is effectively enhancing mathematics education, data-driven approaches should be employed (Cheung & Slavin, 2013). Educators must assess how technology tools and applications influence student engagement, motivation, and achievement (Xiao et al., 2023; Young et al., 2019). This can be done through various means, including formative and summative assessments, data analysis, and student feedback (Young, Gorumek, & Hamilton, 2018). By utilizing data, educators can gain insights into the effectiveness of technology integration, identify areas for improvement, and make informed instructional decisions that optimize learning outcomes for urban students.

Technology has the potential to greatly enhance mathematics education in urban settings (Hoyles, 2018). To maximize its benefits, it is essential to implement best practices that address teacher professional development and support, adapt technology to meet diverse student needs, and employ evaluation and assessment measures. By embracing technology as a valuable tool, urban mathematics classrooms can foster equitable and inclusive learning environments, empowering students with the skills they need to thrive in an increasingly digital world.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the integration of technology in urban mathematics education holds great promise for addressing the challenges faced by students in urban schools. By leveraging technology tools and resources, educators can create engaging and equitable learning environments that promote student motivation and achievement. It is essential to continue exploring and integrating technology in mathematics education, as it offers opportunities to bridge gaps and empower both educators and students in urban settings. The ongoing dialogue and efforts surrounding the role of technology in advancing mathematics education in urban contexts are crucial for striving towards educational equity and excellence for all students.

Looking to the future, technology will continue to play a transformative role in mathematics instruction in urban schools.

As technology continues to advance, educators should embrace innovative approaches such as educational apps, virtual reality, augmented reality, and online collaborative projects to enhance student engagement and foster deeper conceptual understanding. However, it is important to address challenges such as limited resources, achievement gaps, and teacher professional development to fully leverage the potential of technology in urban mathematics education. By investing in technology infrastructure, ensuring equitable access, and providing ongoing support and training for teachers, urban schools can unlock the transformative power of technology, empowering students to become confident, critical thinkers and problem solvers in the realm of mathematics.

References

Anderson, C. R., & Tate, W. F. (2015). Toward a sociology of mathematics education: Examining democratic access in US schools. In Handbook of international research in mathematics education (pp. 386-406). Routledge.

Anthony, A. B., & Clark, L. M. (2011). Examining dilemmas of practice associated with the integration of technology into mathematics classrooms serving urban students. *Urban Education*, *46*(6), 1300-1331. DOI: 10.1177/0042085911416015

Attard, C., & Holmes, K. (2022). An exploration of teacher and student perceptions of blended learning in four secondary mathematics classrooms. *Mathematics Education Research Journal, 34*(4), 719-740. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-022-00420-0

Bauer, J. & Kenton, J. (2005). Toward Technology Integration in the Schools: Why It Isn't Happening. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 13*(4), 519-546. Norfolk, VA: Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education. Retrieved June 23, 2023 from https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/4728/.

Blumenfeld, P., Fishman, B. J., Krajcik, J., Marx, R. W., & Soloway, E. (2000). Creating usable innovations in systemic reform: Scaling up technology-embedded project-based science in urban schools. Educational psychologist, 35(3), 149-164. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3503_1

Chambers, T.V. (2009). The "Receivement Gap": School Tracking Policies and the Fallacy of the "Achievement Gap". *Journal of Negro Education 78*(4), 417-431. https://www.muse.jhu.edu/article/807007.

Cheung, A. C., & Slavin, R. E. (2013). The effectiveness of educational technology applications For enhancing mathematics achievement in K-12 classrooms: A meta-analysis. *Educational research review, 9*, 88-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2013.01.001

Collins, A., & Halverson, R. (2018). Rethinking education in the age of technology: The digital revolution and schooling in America. Teachers College Press.

Corkin, D., Ekmekci, A., White, C., & Fisher, A. (2016). Teachers' self-efficacy and knowledge for the integration of technology in mathematics instruction at urban schools. *Shining a Light on Mathematics Learning*. https://www.rcml-math.org/assets/Proceedings/rcml_proceedings--2016.pdf#page=107

De Vita, M., Verschaffel, L., & Elen, J. (2014). Interactive whiteboards in mathematics teaching: A literature review. *Education Research International*, 2014, 1-16. doi:10.1155/2014/919704

Epper, R. M., & Baker, E. D. (2009). Technology solutions for developmental math: An overview of current and emerging practices. Journal of Developmental Education, 26(2), 4-23. doi:10.1353/jde.0.0045

FAO. (2021). E-learning methodologies and good practices: A guide for designing and delivering e-learning solutions from the FAO elearning Academy, second edition. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/i2516e

Haleem, A., Javaid, M., Qadri, M. A., & Suman, R. (2022). Understanding the role of digital technologies in education: A review. Sustainable Operations and Computers, 3(1), 11-21. doi:10.1108/SOC-12-2021-0101

Harvey-Buschel, P. (2009). *A quantitative examination of factors that impact technology integration in urban public secondary mathematics classrooms*. Bowie State University.

Hew, K. F., & Brush, T. (2007). Integrating technology into K-12 teaching and learning: Current knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 55*(3), 223-252. doi:10.1007/s11423-006-9022-5

Hoyles, C. (2018). Transforming the mathematical practices of learners and teachers through digital technology. *Research in Mathematics Education, 20*(3), 209-228. https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2018.1465506

Huang, R. (2019). Educational technology: A primer for the 21st century. Springer Nature

Singapore Pte Ltd.
Jensen, E. O., & Skott, C. K. (2022). How can the use of digital games in mathematics education promote students' mathematical reasoning? A qualitative systematic review. *Digital Experiences in Mathematics Education, 8*(2), 183-212. doi:10.1007/s40751-021-00213-9
Johnson, E. K., & Salter, A. (2022). *Playful Pedagogy in the Pandemic: Pivoting to Game-Based Learning*. Taylor & Francis.
Kaddoura, S., & Al Husseiny, F. (2023). The rising trend of Metaverse in education: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical considerations. PeerJ Computer Science, 9, e1252. doi:10.7717/peerj-cs.1252
Kaput, J., Hegedus, S., & Lesh, R. (2020). Technology becoming infrastructural in mathematics education. In *Foundations for the future in mathematics education* (pp. 173-191). Routledge.
Keengwe, J. & Akyeampong, A. (2010). Technology Integration Barriers in K-12 Urban Classrooms. In D. Gibson & B. Dodge (Eds.), *Proceedings of SITE 2010--Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (pp. 2267-2271). San Diego, CA, USA: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). Retrieved June 23, 2023 from https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/33702/.
Kimble, T. (2020). The impact of gamification on the mathematics achievement of elementary students.
King, J., & South, J. (2017). *Reimagining the role of technology in higher education: A supplement to the national education technology plan*. US Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology.
Kormos, E. M. (2018). The unseen digital divide: Urban, suburban, and rural teacher use and perceptions of web-based classroom technologies. *Computers in the Schools*, *35*(1), 19-31. https://doi.org/10.1080/07380569.2018.1429168
Kormos, E. (2022). Technology as a facilitator in the learning process in urban high-needs schools: Challenges and opportunities. *Education and Urban Society*, *54*(2), 146-163. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/00131245211004555?casa_token=oSddYfrCfBYAAAAA:IBVQVRfwGBFW4ze3Lxxf0N6zpDM4b2pebAPEvNMdZrRnNY67SRGj_uPoSTZB9OyKFHcb3tRq-PbX
Lawless, K. A., & Pellegrino, J. W. (2007). Professional development in integrating technology into teaching and learning: Knowns, unknowns, and ways to pursue better questions and answers. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(4), 575-614. doi:10.3102/00346543030575575
Li, Q., & Ma, X. (2010). A meta-analysis of the effects of computer technology on school students' mathematics learning. *Educational Psychology Review, 22*(3), 215-243. doi:10.1007/s10648-010-9125-8
Meyer, M., Zosh, J. M., McLaren, C., Robb, M., McCafferty, H., Golinkoff, R. M., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Radesky, J. (2021). How educational are 'educational' apps for young children? App store content analysis using the Four Pillars of Learning framework. Journal of Children and Media, 15(4), 526–548. https://doi.org/10.1080/17482798.2021.1882516
Moreno-Armella, L., & Santos-Trigo, M. (2015). The use of digital technology in mathematical practices: Reconciling traditional and emerging approaches. In K. Beswick, T. Muir, & J. Wells (Eds*.), Handbook of international research in mathematics education* (pp. 607-628). Routledge.
Moeller, B., & Reitzes, T. (2011). *Education Development Center, Inc. (EDC): Integrating Technology with Student-Centered Learning*. Quincy, MA: Nellie Mae Education Foundation.
Muntean, C. H., Bogusevschi, D., & Muntean, G. M. (2019). Innovative technology-based solutions for primary, secondary and tertiary STEM education. Paragon Publishing.
National Center for Education Statistics. (2021). *Technology and education*. Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/programs/coe/indicator_cmr.asp
Nolan, J., & McBride, M. (2014). Beyond gamification: Reconceptualizing game-based learning in early childhood environments. Information, Communication & Society, 17(5), 594-608. doi:10.1080/1369118X.2013.808365
Nousiainen, T., Kangas, M., Rikala, J., & Vesisenaho, M. (2018). Teacher competencies in game-based

pedagogy. Teaching and Teacher Education, 74, 85-97. doi:10.1016/j.tate.2018.05.009
Padrón, Y. N., Waxman, H. C., Lee, Y. H., Lin, M. F., & Michko, G. M. (2012). Classroom Observations of Teaching and Learning with Technology in Urban Elementary School Mathematics Classrooms Serving English Language Learners. International Journal of Instructional Media, 39(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/10550887.2012.10524223
Panconesi, G., & Guida, M. (Eds.). (2021). Handbook of Research on Teaching With Virtual Environments and AI. IGI Global.
Ringstaff, C., & Kelley, L. (2002). The learning return on our educational technology investment: A review of findings from research. Educational Technology Review, 10(1), 67-74. doi:10.1080/10474410208903538
Rizk, J., & Davies, S. (2021). Can digital technology bridge the classroom engagement gap? Findings from a qualitative study of k-8 classrooms in 10 Ontario school boards. Social Sciences, 10(1), 12. doi:10.3390/socsci10010012
Roschelle, J. M., Pea, R. D., Hoadley, C. M., Gordin, D. N., & Means, B. M. (2000). Changing how and what children learn in school with computer-based technologies. The future of children, 76-101. https://doi.org/10.2307/1602694
Rubel, L. H. (2017). Equity-directed instructional practices: Beyond the dominant perspective. Journal of *Urban Mathematics Education, 10*(2). https://doi.org/10.21423/jume-v10i2a324
Scharaldi, K. (2020). What are the benefits of teaching math using technology. Education Consultant.
Shuler, C., Winters, N., & West, M. (2013). The future of mobile learning: Implications for policy makers and planners. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), 7-35.
Tan, E., Barton, A. C., Turner, E., & Gutiérrez, M. V. (2012). Empowering science and mathematics education in urban schools. University of Chicago Press.
Tate, W. (2001). Science education as a civil right: Urban schools and opportunity-to-learn considerations. Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, 38(9), 1015-1028. https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736(200111)38:9<1015::AID-TEA1012>3.0.CO;2-K
Tate, W. F., Anderson, C. R., & Tate, D. A. (2021). SUM it up: A sociological approach to urban mathematics education. In Handbook of urban education (pp. 369-383). Routledge.
Wachira, P., & Keengwe, J. (2011). Technology integration barriers: Urban school mathematics teachers perspectives. *Journal of science education and technology*, *20*, 17-25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-010-9230-y
Xiao, Y., Li, Y., Young, J. R., & Wang, K. (2023). Effects of the iPad use on K-12 students' STEM achievement: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation*, *17*(4), 537-556. https://www.inderscienceonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1504/ijmlo.2023.133779
Young, J. R. (2017). Technology integration in mathematics education: Examining the quality of meta-analytic research. *International Journal on Emerging Mathematics Education*, *1*(1), 71-86.
Young, J., Gorumek, F., & Hamilton, C. (2018). Technology effectiveness in the mathematics classroom: A systematic review of meta-analytic research. *Journal of Computers in Education*, *5*(2), 133-148.
Young, J., Hamilton, C., & Cason, M. (2017). Interactive whiteboards in mathematics spaces: An examination of technology integration in an urban middle school. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, *8*(4), 303-318. https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/354042
Young, J. R., Ortiz, N., & Young, J. L. (2017). STEMulating interest: A meta-analysis of the effects of out-of-school time on student STEM interest. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology*, *5*(1), 62-74. https://www.ijemst.org/index.php/ijemst/article/view/109
Young, J. & Young, J. (2013). STEMulations: Purposefully Repurposing PowerPoint Technology for Socially Situated Simulations. In R. McBride & M. Searson (Eds.), *Proceedings of SITE 2013--Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference* (p. 2979). New Orleans, Louisiana, United States: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). Retrieved June 24, 2023 from https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/48546/.
Young, J. R., & Young, J. L. (2012). But that's not fair": Teacher technology readiness and

African American Students'. *The Journal of the Texas Alliance of Black School Educators*, *4*(1), 19-32.

Young, J. R., Young, J. L., & Hamilton, C. (2013). The use of confidence intervals as a meta-analytic lens to summarize the effects of teacher education technology courses on preservice teacher TPACK. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *46*(2), 149-172.

Young, J. R., Young, J., Hamilton, C., & Pratt, S. S. (2019). Evaluating the effects of professional development on urban mathematics teachers TPACK using confidence intervals. *REDIMAT*, *8*(3), 312-338. https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=7323808

Zhan, Z., Tong, Y., Lan, X., & Zhong, B. (2022). A systematic literature review of game-based learning in Artificial Intelligence education. Interactive Learning Environments, 1-22. doi:10.1080/10494820.2022.2058513